OGC Has Reviewed

6 January 1949

Chief, Services Branch Office of the General Counsel Transfer of Property between Government Agencies

Introduction.

Your memorandum concerning the transfer of property from the Tederal Communications Commission to the Central Intelligence Agency requests that a decision be rendered as to the sutherity for the transfer of property from the FCC to GIA without an exchange of funds. Your request necessarily raises the question of agency status at the time of the transfer of the property from SSU to CIG and requires, in midition, a consideration of CIA as the authorized and ultimate holder of property acquired from other government agencies.

Connection tes of Property Transfer Prior to the 2. Sarplus Property Act of 1914.

- fithough the decisions generally referred to by you are concerned more with the transfer of surplus used property from one recognized government department to enother than with property reconciliations resulting from executive reorganizations, a resume of the decisions is considered.
- with regard to your specific question, you are edvised that there is no local objection to the more brenafer from one bureau or describent of the Sovernment to another department, of property no lenger required for the purposes for which it was appropriated. Such a transfer is not considered to be a sale and is not open to the objection that public property cannot be disposed of without the sutherity of Congress. (35 O.A.G. 245.) The opinion sixed was confined to a consideration of the authority for transfer, and questions of reinburnesent between sporopriations were not discussed.
- A subsequent decision affords more light on the question of adjustment between appropriations arising from the transfer of sumplies or equipment no longer needed by the transferor department. One department had purchased equipment which was no longer required, but which could be used by another department. That such a transaction is not a sale was recognised by the Comptroller of the Treasury, (25 Comp. Sec. 961), when he held that where equipment is transferred from one covernment department to snother, payment to the transferor department is not authorized since the transaction is not a sale. The Comptroller also added that no adjustment of appropriations is required where the expenditures, from the appropriations which hereethe original expense, here accomplished the murposes for which they / made. Such a transfer without additional expense involves a mere question of accountability

Approved For Release 2001/09/03: CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070194-8

angenauff fantiffe

and not an adjustment of appropriations.

- d. However, in 17 G.A.G. 480, the Atterney General pointed out that a transfer of property for administrative expediency; although not a sale, may involve not only a transfer of property, custody, and accountability, but a transfer of cost from one appropriation to another.
- With respect to property transfers involving reimburgement. it can be stated that the transfer of public property from one department to another, and the reinbursement of the appropriation from which originally purchased by a transfer of moneys from the appropriation for the object for which the property do to be used, has been recognized by long practice and is often economical and adventageous. Such a transfor is not a sale within the mountab of Section 3619 of the Revised Statuses, and it is not required that the moneys received therefor shall be covered into the Transmiry as miscellaneous receipts. But reinbursement is made, and the moneys so received are repulled to the appropriations from which the property was originally purchased, in order that such transfers may not be in contravention of Section 3678 of the Revised . Statutes, which provides that all funds appropriated for the various? tranches of the public service shall be amplied solely to the objects for which they more respectively made. (12 Comp. Bec. 668; 15 Comp. Dec. 641: and 21 Comp. Dec. 819 support the foragoing.)
- f. The firmmen of the above principles is desonstrated by an interpredation of the Act of July 11, 1915, 41 Stat. 132, by the Compton of the Treasury. The Act provides:

"The interchange, without componentian therefor, of military stores, supplies, and emigment of every character, including real estate owned by the Government, is horsby authorized between the Army and the Mayy upon the request of the head of one service and with the approval of the head of the other service."

The Comptroller held that the Act did not contemplate a department's transferring its present to another department where that action would but the transferor department to the expense of procuring other property to replace that transferred.

- 3. Condenses of Property Transfers Subsemient to the
- 6. Section 12(c) of the Surplus Property Act of 1944 provides as follows:

The disposal agency responsible for any such preperty shall transfer it to the government agency acquiring it at the fair value of the property at fixed by the disposal agency, under regulations

prescribed by the Board, unless transfer without reinbursement of funds is otherwise authorized by law."

b. As far as the transfer of surplus property between government agencies is concerned, Section 12 (c) of the Act appears to have overrules or surerected the cited decisions. On the other hand, where the property involved is not surplus to the needs or responsibilities of the omine agency, it appears that a transfer may be effected under the authority contained in the decisions of the Attorney General, though it is not clear that the type of transfer consummed was one which would fall within the neuroisbursable category. However, in view of the statement conscioud in the succeeding purposents, it is not considered necessary to develog this point may further; numely, whether the property concerned was now lus in fact or technically surplus due to executive reorganizations.

4. Theerty Transfer under Stated Circumstances.

been louded on voluntary transfers of property between speciment department ments and not on transfers of property occasioned by a transfer of functions, personal, records, facilities, etc. arising out of executive reorganization, consolisations, or eliminations.



c. Executive Order 9621, dated 20 limitember 1945, terminated the Office of Strategic Services, and certain of the functions, personnel, records, etc., were transferred to the Sepretary of Mar, there a Birategic Services Unit was created. By Presidential Directive, dated 22 January 1946, the President directed the respective heads of State, Mar, and Mary to make in the establishment of a Control Intelligence Group under a Director of Control Intelligence, responsible to the Mational Intelligence Anthority. Pursuant thereto, the Acting Secretary of Mar, by necocandum, dated 3 April 1946, directed the Prector of the Strategic Services Unit to make available one facilities and services of the Strategic Services Unit which might be useful in the performance of an authorized function of the Central Intelligence Group. Thereister, and in accordance with personnel 5 of N.I.A. Arective No. 5, dated 8 July 1946, property, supplies, and equipment were transferred by the Strategic Services Unit, Mar Department, to the Central Intelligence Group.

entent on the status of the scentring entity. As you may have surnissed, the status of CIG as a strictly de jure exemply has occasioned some inquiry, though its existence as a composite entity, vested with equarent agency characteristics, because of its receiving the adventages of group contribution in the form of promerty, personnel, records, etc., appears defensible. This position is consistent with the priginal conception of CIG. Thus, we would appear to be on firm ground in maintaining, should the question ever arise, that CIG was a more holding activity from the property accountability standards. CIG, having been created by Executive irective, could be likewise terminated, in which case, it is apparent that the property in the massession of CIG would have reverted to the original eming exempty.

5. Cenclusian.



6. Recommendations.

the FCC inventory and GIA inventory has not been achieved due to the viriance is remembered, quantities, and the absence of satisfactory records from IIA predecessors; namely, the pat clear is what namer as inveltory, taken by CIC on or about 1 Neverber 1986, will reflect the FCC inventory as of the time of transfer to 6-2 of the Nor Department, due to intervening withdrawals and replemishment of stocks by FTIP, this effice perceives no legal objection to a noneral schmooledwant of property receipt by CIA on the assumption that a satisfactory reconciliation, or other appropriate administrative resource, on the effected on a basis consistent with the expressions contained herein.

STATSPEC

STATINTL

LAMBEROE R. HOUSTON

General Counsel